THE MINIMUM DEGREE au OF RESOLVENTS FOR THE p-SECTION OF THE PERIODS OF HYPERELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS

OF FOUR PERIODS*

BY

LEONARD EUGENE DICKSON+

Introduction.

The chief object of the investigation \ddagger is to prove that, if p > 3,

$$\tau = (p^4 - 1)/(p - 1).$$

The case p=3 alone is exceptional, the problem then being equivalent to that of the 27 lines on a general cubic surface. On the final page of his $Trait\acute{e}, \S$ Jordan states that he had established the theorem for p=5, by methods analogous to those used in his complicated discussion for p=3, and says "mais ici la complication est beaucoup plus grande."

It is rather remarkable that the minimum τ should be so large as $p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$, since the fractional form of the general quaternary linear group modulo p can be represented as a substitution group of this degree (and of no lower in view of the present theorem).

The paper makes considerable headway in the problem of all the subgroups of the quaternary abelian group modulo p, which plays the same rôle in the hyperelliptic modular theory (as yet but little developed) as the binary congruence group plays in the classic elliptic modular theory.

Properties of three maximal subgroups of $SA(4, p^n)$.

1. We consider special abelian | transformations of the three types

^{*} Presented to the Society (Chicago), December 30, 1904. Received for publication November 17, 1904.

[†] Of the Carnegie Institution of Washington.

[‡] A sequel to my series of articles in these Transactions. Occasional reference to them is made by Roman numerals as in the list in the paper, Determination of all the subgroups of the known simple group of order 25920, in vol. 5 (1904), p. 127.

[§] Note E, p. 667. Also in Comptes Rendus (1870), p. 1028.

^{||} The abelian conditions on $(1)_1$ and $(1)_2$ are given by (7) of II₃₇₄ and (19) of II₃₈₀, respectively. The sign \pm preceding the matrices in II is now to be omitted.

$$(1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \gamma_{12} \\ 0 & \alpha_{11}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \gamma_{22} \\ 0 & \delta_{21} & \beta_{22} & \delta_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \gamma_{12} \\ 0 & \delta_{11} & 0 & \delta_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \gamma_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \gamma_{22} \\ 0 & \delta_{21} & 0 & \delta_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{11} & \delta_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{22} & \gamma_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{22} & \delta_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Those of type $(1)_1$ form a group G_{ω} of order $\omega = (p^{2n}-1)(p^n-1)p^{4n}$. The operators with $\alpha_{11}=1$ form a subgroup G_{ω} , of order $\omega'=(p^{2n}-1)p^{4n}$. Next, the operators of type $(1)_2$ form a group H_{ω} ; those with $\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22}-\alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}=1$ form a subgroup $H_{\omega'}$. Finally, there are $\{(p^{2n}-1)p^n\}^2$ operators $(1)_3$ with

$$(2) \hspace{1cm} \alpha_{_{11}}\delta_{_{11}}-\beta_{_{11}}\gamma_{_{11}}=1\,, \qquad \alpha_{_{22}}\delta_{_{22}}-\beta_{_{22}}\gamma_{_{22}}=1\,.$$

These and their products by $P_{12}=(\,\xi_1\,\xi_2\,)(\,\eta_1\,\eta_2)$ form a group K_π , $\pi=2\,(\,p^{2n}-1\,)^2p^{2n}$. A subgroup $K_{\pi'},\,\pi'=(\,p^{2n}-1\,)\,p^{2n}$ is formed of the operators

$$(3) \quad \xi_1' = \xi_1 + \gamma_{11}\eta_1, \qquad \eta_1' = \eta_1, \qquad \xi_2' = \alpha_{22}\xi_2 + \gamma_{22}\eta_2, \qquad \eta_2' = \beta_{22}\xi_2 + \delta_{22}\eta_2.$$

2. Theorem. If a subgroup of $SA(4, p^n)$ contains $G_{\omega'}$, it lies in G_{ω} . In particular, G_{ω} is a maximal subgroup.

We extend $G_{\omega'}$ by a transformation S of $SA(4, p^n)$, not in G_{ω} , and prove that the group obtained is $SA(4, p^n)$. Give to S the notation (1) of II_{372} . By hypothesis, β_{11} , β_{12} , δ_{12} are not all zero. We may assume that β_{11} and β_{12} are not both zero, otherwise M_2S has $\beta'_{12} = -\delta_{12} \neq 0$, while M_2 lies in G_{ω} .

Let first $\beta_{11}=0$, $\beta_{12}\neq 0$. Employing S^{-1} if necessary, we may take $\beta_{11}=0$, $\beta_{21}\neq 0$. Then $S'\equiv SN_{1,2,\rho}$, where $\alpha_{11}+\rho\beta_{21}=1$, is of the form S with $\alpha_{11}=1$, $\beta_{11}=0$, $\beta_{21}\neq 0$. Now $G_{\omega'}$ contains a transformation T which leaves η_1 fixed and replaces ξ_1 by the same function $\xi_1+\cdots$ that S' does. Then $S_1\equiv T^{-1}S'$ leaves ξ_1 fixed and has $\beta_{11}=0$. The abelian conditions give

$$\delta_{_{11}}=1\,,\qquad \gamma_{_{21}}=\delta_{_{21}}=0\,,\qquad \alpha_{_{22}}\delta_{_{22}}-\beta_{_{22}}\gamma_{_{22}}=1\,.$$

The product $S_2 \equiv S_1 U^{-1}$, where $U = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & b_{22} \\ b_{22} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ on ξ_2 and η_2 , is

$$\xi_1' = \xi_1, \qquad \eta_1' = \eta_1 + \beta_{12}\xi_2 + \delta_{12}\eta_2, \qquad \xi_2' = \xi_2 + \alpha_{21}\xi_1, \qquad \eta_2' = \eta_2 + \beta_{21}\xi_1.$$

By the hypothesis on S, S_2 is not in G_{ω} , so that β_{12} and δ_{12} are not both zero. Now $G_{\omega'}$ contains an operator V which leaves ξ_1 and η_1 unaltered and replaces ξ_2 by $-\kappa^{-1}(\beta_{12}\xi_2+\delta_{12}\eta_2)$, where κ is any mark \pm 0. Then $V^{-1}S_2V=R_{1,2,\kappa}$. Now M_1^{-1} transforms the latter into $Q_{2,1,\kappa}$. But $G_{\omega'}$ contains $Q_{1,2,\kappa}$. Hence we reach

$$(4) P_{12} = Q_{2,1,1}^{-1} Q_{1,2,1} Q_{2,1,1}^{-1} T_{2,-1}, M_1 = P_{12} M_2 P_{12},$$

and hence (Linear Groups, p. 92) all the generators of SA (4, p^n).

Let next $\beta_{11} \neq 0$. Then $S' \equiv N_{1, 2, -\delta_{12}\beta_{11}^{-1}}Q_{1, 2, -\beta_{12}\beta_{11}^{-1}}S$ replaces η_1 by $\beta_{-1}\xi_1 + \delta\eta_1$. Then $S_1 \equiv L_{1, -\delta\beta_{11}^{-1}}S'$ replaces η_1 by $\beta_{11}\xi_1$. For S_1 ,

$$\gamma_{11} = -\beta_{11}^{-1}, \qquad \gamma_{21} = 0, \qquad \delta_{21} = 0, \qquad \alpha_{22}\delta_{22} - \beta_{22}\gamma_{22} = 1,$$

by certain abelian conditions. Then $S_2 \equiv S_1 U^{-1}$, U as above, is

$$\begin{split} \xi_{1}' &= \alpha_{11} \xi_{1} - \beta_{11}^{-1} \eta_{1} + \alpha_{12} \xi_{2} + \gamma_{12} \eta_{2}, & \eta_{1}' &= \beta_{11} \xi_{1}, & \xi_{2}' &= \xi_{2} + \alpha_{21} \xi_{1}, \\ \eta_{2}' &= \eta_{2} + \beta_{21} \xi_{1}. \end{split}$$

Then $S_3 \equiv S_2 N_{1, 2, -\gamma_{12}} Q_{1, 2, -\alpha_{12}}$ is of the form

$$\xi'_1 = \alpha \xi_1 - \beta_{11}^{-1} \eta_1, \qquad \eta'_1 = \beta_{11} \xi_1, \qquad \xi'_2 = \xi_2, \qquad \eta'_2 = \eta_2.$$

Then $S_4 \equiv S_3 L_{1,-\alpha\beta_{11}^{-1}}$ is of the form S_3 with $\alpha=0$. Now S_4 transforms $L_{1,\lambda}$ into $L'_{1,-\lambda\beta_{11}^{2}}$. Hence we reach every $L'_{1,\rho}$ and hence $M_1=L'_{1,-1}L_{1,1}L'_{1,-1}$.

3. Theorem. If a subgroup of $SA(4, p^n)$ contains $H_{\omega'}$, it lies in H_{ω} . In particular, H_{ω} is a maximal subgroup.

I omit the proof, which is of the same character as that of § 2.

4. Theorem. If, for p > 2, a subgroup of $SA(4, p^n)$ contains $K_{\pi'}$, it lies in K_{π} or G_{ω} . In particular, K_{π} is a maximal subgroup.*

We extend $K_{\pi'}$ by a transformation S of $SA(4, p^n)$, lying in neither K_{π} nor G_{ω} , and prove that the group obtained is $SA(4, p^n)$. Giving S the notation (1) of II_{372} , we have α_{12} , γ_{12} , β_{12} , δ_{12} not all zero, since S does not lie in K_{π} .

Applying $L_{1,\lambda}$ on the right, we may suppose that α_{12} and γ_{12} are not both zero. We may take $\alpha_{12} \neq 0$, applying M_2 on the left if necessary. Finally, applying $T_{2,\rho}L_{2,\sigma}$ on the left, we may take $\alpha_{12} = 1$, $\gamma_{12} = 0$.

Case (a). Let $\delta_{12}=0$. Then (2)₂ holds. Then SU^{-1} , where $U=(\frac{a_{22}}{\beta_{22}},\frac{\gamma_{22}}{\delta_{22}})$ on ξ_2 and η_2 , becomes S_1 in view of abelian conditions C_{14} , C_{24} :

(5)
$$S_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{11} & 1 & 0 \\ \beta_{11} & \delta_{11} & \beta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \beta_{21} & \delta_{21} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad W = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \delta & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \gamma & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since S_1 does not lie in G_{ω} , β_{11} and β_{12} are not both zero.

Let first $\beta_{12} \neq 0$ in S_1 . Then $T_{2,\,\beta_{12}}^{-1} S_1 L_{1,\,-\beta_{12}^{-1}} T_{2,\,\beta_{12}}$ is the form W. Then for any $\lambda \neq 0$, $W^{-1} T_{2,\,\lambda} W T_{2,\,\lambda}^{-1} = R_{1,\,2,\,1-\lambda}$. Transforming by $T_{2,\,\rho}$ and M_2^{-1} , we reach every $R_{1,\,2,\,\mu}$ and $Q_{2,\,1,\,\mu}$, if $p^n > 2$. Then \dagger we reach $N_{1,\,2,\,\mu}$ and its

^{*} The latter is true also for p=2.

[†]Linear Groups, p. 97, formula (83) for i=2, j=1.

transform $Q_{1,2,-\mu}$ by M_2 . Applying (4), we obtain the remaining generators of $SA(4, p^n)$.

Let next $\beta_{12} = 0$, $\beta_{11} \neq 0$, in S_1 . Then $\beta_{21} = -\beta_{11}$, $\delta_{21} = -\delta_{11}$ by abelian conditions C_{13} and C_{23} . Then $L_{1,-\delta_{11}\beta_{11}^{-1}}S_1L_{1,-\alpha_{11}\beta_{11}^{-1}}$ is Z:

$$(6) \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\beta_{11}^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ \beta_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\beta_{11} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{11} & 1 & 0 \\ \beta_{11} & \delta_{11} & 0 & \delta_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \gamma_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ -\beta_{11} & -\delta_{11} & 0 & \delta_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\delta_{12} \neq 0, \delta_{12} + \delta_{22} = 1,)$$

Then if $\gamma \neq 0$, $Z^{-1}T_{2,\lambda}ZT_{2,\lambda}^{-1} = Q_{1,2,\lambda-1}$. If p > 2, we reach every $Q_{1,2,\tau}$. Then

$$ZQ_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,\,2,\,-1}\colon\,\xi_1'=-\,\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\,\eta_1,\,\eta_1'=\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}\,\xi_1,\,\xi_2'=\xi_2,\,\eta_2'=\eta_2$$

transforms $L_{1,\rho}$ into $L'_{1,-\rho\beta^{2}_{1}}$. We thus reach every transformation of determinant unity on ξ_{1} and η_{1} , and then $SA(4,p^{n})$.

Case (b). Let next $\delta_{12} \neq 0$. Applying $L'_{2, \rho}$ on the left we may make $\beta_{12} = 0$. We thus have a transformation S' with $\alpha_{12} = 1$, $\gamma_{12} = 0$, $\beta_{12} = 0$, $\delta_{12} \neq 0$. Since S' is not in K_{π} , α_{22} , γ_{22} , β_{22} , δ_{22} are not all zero. Applying M_2 on the right, we may assume that α_{22} and α_{22} are not both zero in S'.

(b₁). Let first $\alpha_{22} \neq 0$. We may set $\alpha_{22} = 1$ by multiplying by $T_{2, \alpha_{22}}^{-1}$ on the right. Then $V = L_{2, -\gamma_{22}} S' L_{1, \gamma_{22} \delta_{13}^{-1}} L'_{2, -\beta_{22}}$ is of the form (6)₂.

If $\alpha_{21} \neq 0$ in V, then $V_1 = L_{1, -\gamma_{21}\alpha_{11}^{-1}}V$ has $\gamma_{21} = 0$, $\gamma_{11} = 0$. Then $V_1^{-1}L'_{2, -\alpha}V_1L'_{2, \alpha}$ is Z_4 , with $t = \rho - \rho \delta_{\alpha\alpha}^2$:

$$(7) \quad Z_{\iota} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho \delta_{12}^{2} & 1 & -\rho \delta_{12} \delta_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\rho \delta_{12} \delta_{22} & 0 & t & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 - \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $Z_{t}L'_{2,-t} = Z_{0}$. For $\delta_{22} \neq 0$, Z_{0} with $\rho = -\delta_{12}^{-1}\delta_{22}^{-1}$ is of the earlier type $(5)_{2}$. For $\delta_{22} = 0$, $Z_{0} = L'_{1,-\rho}$ and $\delta_{12} = 1$, $\alpha_{11} = 0$, $\delta_{11} = -\alpha_{21}^{-1}$ in V_{1} . Then

$$V_2 \equiv L_{1,\;\beta_{11}a}' \, V_1 T_{2,\;\alpha^{-1}} \colon \xi_1' = \xi_2,\; \eta_1' = \eta_2 - \alpha^{-1} \, \eta_1 \,,\; \xi_2' = \xi_1 + \alpha^{-1} \, \xi_2 \,,\; \eta_2' = \eta_1 \,.$$

Then $(V_2^{-1}T_{2,-1}V_2T_{2,-1})^2 = Q_{2,1,-4a^{-1}}$. We thus reach $SA(4,p^n)$.

If $\alpha_{21}=0$ in V, then $\alpha_{11}=0$ by abelian condition C_{14} . Applying $L_{1,\rho}$ on the left, we may set $\delta_{11}=0$. Then $L_{2,-\delta_{11}}VL_{1,\delta_{22}\delta_{21}^{-1}}L_{2,1}$ is of the form $(6)_2$ with $\alpha'_{21}=-\beta_{11}\neq 0$.

(b₂). Let finally $\alpha_{22} = 0$, $\gamma_{22} \neq 0$ in S'. We may set $\beta_{22} = 0$, since otherwise $S'L_{2,1}$ is of the form S' with $\alpha_{22} \neq 0$. Applying on the right the inverse

of $\binom{\alpha_{21}}{\beta_{21}} \stackrel{\gamma_{21}}{\gamma_{21}}$ on ξ_2 and η_2 , we reach $(7)_2$, in view of the abelian conditions. If $\alpha = \gamma = 0$, $X = P_{12}$ would belong to K_{π} . If $\alpha \neq 0$, X^{-1} is of the form S' with $\alpha_{22} \neq 0$. Hence we may set $\alpha = 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$. Then $X^2 = N_{1, 2, 2\gamma}$. If p > 2, we reach every $N_{1, 2, \rho}$. Now $XN_{1, 2, -\gamma} = P_{12}$. Hence we reach the generators of $SA(4, p^n)$.

The subgroups of order a power of p.

5. Consider the subgroup $G_{p^{4n}}$ of the operators $S = [k, \alpha, c, d]$ defined by (3) of II_{372} . Let $\Sigma = [\kappa, \alpha, \gamma, \delta]$. Then the commutator $S^{-1}\Sigma^{-1}S\Sigma$ is

(8)
$$[k', 0, c', 0] \quad (k' = 2\alpha c - 2\gamma a + \alpha^2 d - a^2 \delta, c' = \alpha d - a\delta).$$

If p>2, we may make k' and c' assume arbitrary values in the field by taking a=-1, $\alpha=0$, $\delta=c'$, $2\gamma=k'+c'$. If p=2, we may make k' and c' assume arbitrary values each $\neq 0$ by taking $\delta=0$, $\alpha=k'/c'$, $d=c'^2/k'$; also we may make k'=c'=0. The number of operators thus reached is $(2^n-1)^2+1$, which exceeds $\frac{1}{2}2^{2n}$ if n>1, so that they generate $K_{2^{2n}}$ below. If p=2, n=1, then $k'=c'=\alpha d-a\delta$.

Theorem. For $p^n > 2$, the commutator subgroup of $G_{p^{tn}}$ is

(9)
$$K_{p^{2n}} = \{ [k, 0, c, 0], k, c \text{ arbitrary } \};$$

for $p^n = 2$ it is the group of the two operators [k, 0, k, 0], k = 0, 1.

6. It is easily shown that if the pth power of every operator of a group G_{p^a} belongs to its commutator subgroup G_{p^b} , there are exactly $(p^{a-b}-1)/(p-1)$ subgroups of order p^{a-1} in G_{p^a} .

For G_{p^m} the condition is satisfied. Hence the number of its subgroups of order p^{4n-1} is $(p^{2n}-1)/(p-1)$ if $p^n>2$, and 7 if $p^n=2$.

When a subgroup $G_{p^{tn}}$ can be defined by certain independent relations $f_1 = 0, \dots, f_r = 0$ between the coefficients k, a, c, d of S, we denote it $\{f_1 = 0, \dots, f_r = 0\}$. Thus (9) is denoted $\{a = 0, d = 0\}$.

For p > 2, n = 1, the p + 1 subgroups of order p^3 of G_{v^4} are

(10)
$$\{d=0\}, \{a=td\}$$
 $(t=0,1,\dots,p-1).$

7. The group $\{a=0\}$ is commutative of type (1,1,1) and hence has p^2+p+1 subgroups of order p^2 . As in §5, it follows that, for p>2, the commutator group of either $\{d=0\}$ or $\{a=td\}$, $t\neq 0$, is formed of the operators [k,0,0,0], and contains the pth power of every S. Hence either group has exactly p+1 subgroups of order p^2 . They are seen to be the ones given in the following table:

where r and s take independently the values $0, 1, \dots, p-1$.

Now $T_{1,t-1}$ transforms $\{a=td\}$, $t \neq 0$, into $\{a=d\}$. The $2p^2 + p + 1$ distinct subgroups of order p^2 of G_{p^4} are found to be conjugate within SA(4, p) with the four types* in the 5th-8th rows of the table of §8.

8. In the following table is given in the first column a representative of each set of subgroups of G_{p^4} conjugate within SA(4,p), p>2; in the second column the largest subgroup of SA(4,p) transforming into itself the representative.

where ν is a particular not-square, $\mu = 1$ or ν , B = [0, -1, 0, -1], and $\epsilon = \pm 1$ according as $p = 4l \pm 1$, and where

$$(11) \begin{bmatrix} \pm \alpha_{22} & \gamma_{11} & \pm \nu \alpha_{21} & \gamma_{12} \\ 0 & \alpha_{22}/\Delta & 0 & -\alpha_{21}/\Delta \\ \alpha_{21} & \gamma_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \gamma_{22} \\ 0 & \mp \nu \alpha_{21}/\Delta & 0 & \pm \alpha_{22}/\Delta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \gamma_{12} \\ 0 & t^{-1}\alpha_{11} & 0 & t^{-1}\mu^{-1}\alpha_{12} \\ \mp \mu^{-1}\alpha_{12} & \gamma_{21} & \pm \alpha_{11} & \gamma_{22} \\ 0 & \mp t^{-1}\alpha_{12} & 0 & \pm t^{-1}\alpha_{11} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta = \pm (\alpha_{22}^2 - \nu \alpha_{21}^2) \neq 0, \ t = \alpha_{11}^2 + \mu^{-1}\alpha_{12}^2 \neq 0.$$

^{*} These correspond to K_{p^2} , $K_{p^2}^*$, $K_{p^2}^{**}$, and (16'), respectively of II. The types of period p are taken from I; the transform of A_1 of I_{112} by $M_1M_2T_{1,-1}$ gives B.

General plan of the subsequent investigation.

9. Let H be a subgroup of order p^iN of SA(4,p), i>0, N prime to p, p>2. Applying a suitable transformation within SA(4,p), we may assume that H contains a subgroup G_{p^i} lying in the G_{p^i} of §§ 5–7. If H contains G_{p^i} self-conjugately, H lies in one of the groups in the second column of the table in § 8, and hence lies in G_{ω} , H_{ω} , or $(G_{p^4}, T_{1, \alpha_{11}} T_{2, \alpha_{22}})$. In this case the determination of H depends upon the determination * of all subgroups of the binary linear-homogeneous group of determinant unity. Suppose next that G_{p^i} is not self-conjugate in H. Let p^m be the maximal order of a subgroup common to G_{p^i} and any of its conjugates under H; let G_{p^m} be such a subgroup. By a theorem † discovered independently by Burnside and Frobenius, H must contain an operator S, of period prime to p, commutative with G_{p^m} but not with G_{p^i} .

Now, if p > 2, $(p^4 - 1)(p^2 - 1)$ has no factor of the form $1 + p^3x$, x > 0. For if so, call the quotient q. Then $0 < q < p^3$, $-p^2 + 1 \equiv q \pmod{p^3}$. Hence $q = p^3 - p^2 + 1$. But the latter is relatively prime to $(p^2 - 1)^2$, and exceeds $p^2 + 1$ if p > 2. Hence the number of conjugates to G_p , in H is not $\equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}$, so that $\ddagger m \ge i - 2$. We may set m = i - 1 or i - 2.

10. Lemma. Any binary transformation $B = \begin{pmatrix} a & \gamma \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta \neq 0$, together with all the $S_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ generate every binary transformation of determinant unity. Indeed, $S_{-\delta\beta^{-1}}BS_{-\alpha\beta^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\tau = -\beta^{-1}(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma) \neq 0$. The latter operator transforms S_{λ} into $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\sigma = \lambda\beta\tau^{-1}$ may be made arbitrary.

The subgroups H of order p^4N , p > 2.

11. Now i = 4, m = 3 or 2 in § 9. For m = 3, we may take G_{p^m} to be $\{a = 0\}$ or $\{d = 0\}$, since every operator commutative with $\{a = d\}$ lies in $(G_{n^4}, T_{1a^3}T_{2,a})$ and hence is commutative with $G_{p^4}(\S 8)$.

For $\{d=0\}$, S is of the form $(1)_1$. Then $\beta_{22} \neq 0$ since S is not commutative with G_{p^4} . The quotient-group $\{G_{\omega}/\{d=0\}\}$ may be taken concretely as the group of the products $T_{1,\alpha_{11}}U$, U a binary transformation of determinant unity on ξ_2 and η_2 . Also, $G_{p^4}/\{d=0\}$ is $(L_{2,\gamma})$. Then, by $\{0,0\}$ 10, we reach every U. These, with G_{p^4} , generate $G_{\omega'}$. Hence, by $\{0,0\}$ 2, H is a subgroup of G_{ω} .

For $\{a=0\}$, S is of the form $(1)_2$, with $\alpha_{21} \neq 0$. The quotient-groups

^{*}This has been done by the writer for any Galois field.

[†] References in BURNSIDE's Theory of Groups, p. 97.

[‡] Compare, for example, BURNSIDE's Theory of Groups, p. 94, Cor. II.

[&]amp; Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 10 (1904), pp. 178-184.

$$\frac{H_{\omega}}{\{a=0\}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & \alpha_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{22}/\Delta & 0 & -\alpha_{21}/\Delta \\ \alpha_{21} & 0 & \alpha_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_{12}/\Delta & 0 & \alpha_{11}/\Delta \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \frac{G_{p^4}}{\{a=0\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\Delta = \alpha_{11} \alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12} \alpha_{21}$, are simply isomorphic with the binary groups

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, in view of § 10 and § 3, H is a subgroup of H_{ω} .

12. Let next i=4, m=2. Then, by § 8, G_{p^2} is neither $\{a=d=0\}$ nor $\{a=d,\ c=\frac{1}{2}d^2\}$. If G_{p^2} is $\{a=c=0\}$, $S=S_1P_{12}$, where S_1 lies in $\{a=0\}$ extended by $T_{1,\ a_1}$, $T_{2,\ a_2}$, so that S_1 transforms G_{p^4} into itself. But G_{p^4} and its transform by P_{12} have $\{a=0\}$ in common, in contradiction with m=2. Finally, let G_{p^2} be $\{a=0,\ k=\nu d\}$, so that S is of the form $(11)_1$. Now the general quaternary abelian operator with every $\beta_{ij}=0$ transforms $[k,\ a,\ c,\ d]$ into an operator of the form $(1)_2$, written in capital letters, with

$$\begin{split} A_{ij} &= \tau_{ij} + a\alpha_{i1}\delta_{j2}, \qquad D_{ij} = \tau_{ij} - a\delta_{i2}\alpha_{j1}, \\ C_{ij} &= k\alpha_{i1}\alpha_{i1} + c\alpha_{i1}\alpha_{i2} + (c - ad)\alpha_{i2}\alpha_{i1} + d\alpha_{i2}\alpha_{i2} - a\alpha_{i1}\gamma_{i2} - a\gamma_{i2}\alpha_{i1}, \end{split}$$

where $\tau_{ij} = 1$ (i = j), $\tau_{ij} = 0$ $(i \neq j)$. Hence G_{p^i} and its transform by S would have $\{a = 0\}$ in common, in contradiction with m = 2.

The subgroups H of order
$$p^3N$$
, $p > 2$.

- 13. Let first i=3, m=2 in § 9. In view of § 8 the only case not immediately excluded is $G_{p^2}=\{\,a=d=0\,\},\ G_{p^3}=\{\,a=d\,\}$. Then S lies in $(G_{p^4},\,T_{1,\,\alpha_{11}}\,T_{2,\,\alpha_{22}})$ and hence transforms $\{a=d\,\}$ into $\{a=\alpha_{11}\,\alpha_{22}^{-3}\,d\}$; the latter two generate G_{p^4} in contradiction with i=3.
- 14. Let i=3, m=1. If $G_p=(L_{1,\,\mu}\,L_{2,\,1})$, then $G_{p^3}=\{\,a=0\,\}$. Since $(11)_2$ is of the form $(1)_2$, this case is excluded by § 8. If $G_p=(B)$, p being >3, then $G_{p^3}=\{\,a=d\,\}$; so that (§ 8) any operator commutative with G is commutative with G_{p^3} . Let finally $G_p=(L_{1,\,1})$. Let first G_{p^3} be $\{\,a=0\,\}$, so that S is of the form $(1)_1$ with $\beta_{22}\neq 0$. Then S transforms $[\,0\,,0\,,1\,,0\,]$ into $[\,2\alpha_{11}\,\alpha_{12},\,-\alpha_{11}\,\beta_{22},\,\alpha_{11}\,\alpha_{22},\,0\,]$, which extends G_{p^3} to G_{p^4} , in contradiction with i=3. The same argument excludes $G_{p^3}=\{\,a=d\,\}$. Finally, $G_{p^3}=\{\,d=0\,\}$ is excluded by § 8.

Theorem. Every subgroup of order p^3N has a self-conjugate G_{p^3} and hence lies in either G_{ω} or H_{ω} .

The subgroups H of order p^2N , p > 2.

15. Let i=2, m=1. Let first $G_p=(L_{1,1})$, whence $S=(1)_1$. Then G_{p^2} is

$$\{a=d=0\}, \quad \{a=c=0\}, \quad \text{or} \quad \{a=d, c=sd+\frac{1}{2}d^2\}.$$

We may set s=0 by transforming by [0,0,-s,0], which is commutative with $(L_{1,i})$. The argument at the end of § 14 excludes $\{a=d=0\}$.

Let $G_{p^2}=\{\,a=c=0\,\}$. Now S transforms $[\,k,\,0\,,\,0\,,\,\gamma\,]$ into Σ , given by the second matrix of Π_{374} when a=c=0. The supposition $\beta_{22}=0$ contradicts i=2. If $\alpha_{22}\neq 0$, we employ Σ for $\gamma=\alpha_{22}^{-1}\beta_{22}^{-1}$. Hence we may set $\beta_{22}\neq 0$, $\alpha_{22}=0$ in S. Then, for $\gamma=-\beta_{22}^{-2}$, Σ $L_{1,-k'}$ has the form $L'_{2,\,1}Q_{1,\,2,\,\delta}$. This is transformed into $L'_{2,\,1}L_{1,\,\delta^2}$ by $N_{1,\,2,\,-\delta}$, which is commutative with $\{\,a=c=0\,\}$. Hence H contains $L'_{2,\,1}$ and $\{\,a=c=0\,\}$, which generate $K_{\pi'}$ of § 1. By § 4, H lies in K_{π} or G_{ω} .

For $G_{p^2}=\{\,a=d\,,\,c=\frac{1}{2}d^2\,\}$, a similar argument shows H contains an operator $U_{r,\,s}$, with $s\, \neq\, 0$:

$$U_{r,s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & r & s \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s - r & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now $N_{1,\,2,\,-r}$, which is commutative with G_{p^2} , transforms $U_{r,\,s}$ into $L_{1,\,r^2-r^s}U_{0,\,s}$. Hence, H contains G_{p^2} and $U_{0,\,s}$. Set $E_d = [\,0\,,\,d\,,\,d^2/2\,,\,d\,]$. Then H contains $X \equiv L_{1,\,2s}U_{0,\,s}^{-1}E_1U_{0,\,s}$ and Y, the transform of E_{-1} by $[\,2\,,\,2\,,\,2\,,\,2\,]X$. Now $N_{1,\,2\,,\,2}$ transforms G_{p^2} into itself, and Y into $L_{1,\,27/4}U_{0,\,1}$. We may thus assume that H contains G_{p^2} and $U_{0,\,1}$. Then H contains

$$X^{-1}L_{1,\frac{3}{2}}E_{-1}U_{0,1}^{-1}E_{1} = [-1,-2,0,0],$$

which belongs to $G_{{}_{\mathbf{p}^4}},$ but not to $G_{{}_{\mathbf{p}^2}},$ contrary to i=2 .

16. Let next $G_p=(L_{1,\,\mu}\,L_{2,\,1})$. Then S is of the form $(11)_2$, a special case of $(1)_2$. Hence S transforms $\{\,a=0\,\}$ into itself. But the only G_{p^2} containing G_p are $\{\,a=c=0\,\}$ and $\{\,a=0\,,\,k=rc+\mu d\,\}$. Hence S transforms either of these into a subgroup of G_{p^4} , in contradiction with i=2.

17. Let finally
$$G_p=(B)$$
, p being >3 . Then G_{p^2} must be either $\{a=d=0\}$, or $\{a=d,\,c=\sigma d+\frac{1}{2}d^2\}$.

The first is excluded by § 8. The second is transformed into itself by any

operator of $\{a=d\}$, and into $\{a=d, c=s^2\sigma d+\frac{1}{2}d^2\}$ by $T_{1,s^3}T_{2,s}$. Transforming the result by $[0, 0, (s^4-1)/12, (s^2-1)/2]$, we obtain

$$\{a=d, c=[s^2\sigma-\frac{1}{2}(s^2-1)]d+\frac{1}{2}d^2\}.$$

This lies in G_{r^4} , in contradiction with i=2.

- 18. It remains to consider the H_{p^2N} no two of whose subgroups G_{p^2} have in common an operator $\neq I$. Hence the number of conjugate G_{p^2} is M, where $M \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. It is readily shown that the only factors of the form $1 + p^2x$ of $\omega = (p^4 1)(p^2 1)$ are $1, p^2 + 1, (p^2 1)^2$ and ω . Hence if H is of index $<\tau$, where $\tau = (p^4 1)/(p 1)$, we may set $M = p^2 + 1$ or $(p^2 1)^2$. The latter case is immediately excluded in view of the orders of the largest subgroups containing a G_{p^2} self-conjugately (§ 8). For $M = p^2 + 1$, G_{p^2} is self-conjugate in a G_{p^2t} within H, where t divides $(p^2 1)^2$. In fact, $t \le 2(p^2 1)$ by § 8. Hence, for p > 3, H is of index $> \tau$.
- 19. If a subgroup H of order pN is of index $<\tau$, then $N=\omega$. The details of the exclusion (for p>3) of this isolated case will be omitted, in view of an anticipated treatment of all orders pN. In this direction I have shown that any H_{pN} with more than one C_p conjugate with $(L_{1,1})$ may be transformed into the group Γ of the binary transformations of determinant unity on ξ_1 and η_1 , or else into a direct product of Γ and a binary group on ξ_2 and η_2 with no operator of period p (and hence of order 2, 4d, 24, 48 or 120).

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, October 1, 1904.